UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE                          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/532,672  | 04/26/2005                           | Michihiko Takase     | 2005_0643A            | 8709             |
|   | 7590 02/02/200<br>, LIND & PONACK, I | EXAMINER             |                       |                  |
| 2033 K STREET N. W.<br>SUITE 800<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021 |                                      |                      | BURKHART, ELIZABETH A |                  |
|   |                                      |                      | ART UNIT              | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                                      |                      | 1792                  |                  |
|   |                                      |                      |                       |                  |
|   |                                      |                      | MAIL DATE             | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |                                      |                      | 02/02/2009            | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Summary    10/532,672   |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Elizabeth Burkhart   1792   | Office Action Comments   | 10/532,672  | TAKASE, MICHIHIKO            |  |  |  |  |  |
| - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Featmaster of time may be acadelian used the genotices of 27 CFR 1.18(a), in to event, however, may a right but intensified.  If IN Operad for regly is specified above, the maintainun stauticery, period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication.  Feature to region within the set or continued period for noy like by statutes. cause the application to be communication. Feature to region within the set or continued period for noy 1.2 Amusery 2009.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is finance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 6.10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5b) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6b) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6b) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7b) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7b) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10b) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) si objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The action of operation is objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) si objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  | Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Exhibitors of time rary to available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.30(a). In or worth towerun, may a roby be timely filed  - Exhibitor of rays is specified above, the maximum shallow provide may be under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.30(a). In the count, may a roby be timely filed  - Fallive to reply within the set or obstended prince for raph will by attention, cause the application to become MAINCONED, 035 U.S.C. 5, 133).  - Fallive to reply within the set of roberted prince for raph will by attention, cause the application to become MAINCONED, 035 U.S.C. 5, 133).  - Fallive to reply within the set of roberted prince for raph will by attention, cause the application to become MAINCONED, 035 U.S.C. 5, 133).  - Fallive to the mail patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  - Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 12 January 2009.  - Za) □ This action is FINAL.  - 2b) □ This action is filled to 12 January 2009.  - Za) □ This action is FINAL.  - 2b) □ This action is filled to 12 January 2009.  - Za) □ This action is FINAL.  - 2b) □ This action is independent of raph raph and the resulting of the part  |  | Elizabeth Burkhart  | 1792                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Elements of the may be available under the provised and 57 EFR 1.18(a). In event, however, may a reply be timely find after 5X (c) MCNITS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failur to regive which the set or calended period for eye, with 0 years (a). The characteristic of the communication of the communicati |  | pears on the cover sheet with the c                       | orrespondence address        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 January 2009.  2a  | A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s) 6-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5   Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6   Claim(s) 6-10 is/are rejected. 7   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10   The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:   | Status   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s) 6-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5   Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6   Claim(s) 6-10 is/are rejected. 7   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10   The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:   | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ja   | anuary 2009   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 6-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  | · <u> </u>   | <del></del>   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   Disposition of Claims  4)   |  |   | secution as to the merits is |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 6-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) \ Claim(s) is/are ejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) \ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) \ All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No, 3. \ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) \ Notice of Draffersperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) \ Notice of Informal Patent Application 5) \ Notice of Informal Patent Application  |  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No,  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-9808)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application  | Disposition of Claims  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No,  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-9808)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application  | 4) Claim(s) 6-10 is/are pending in the application   | 4) \times Claim(s) 6-10 is/are pending in the application |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 6-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application   | ·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | ·—  |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) 6-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application   |  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                     |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S8/08)  |  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                     |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some coll None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   | 8) பெளா(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application   | Application Papers   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  | 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine  | er.   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some column None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  10 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See                       | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  | <ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s)/Mail Da                                       | ite                          |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 7)   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/532,672 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/12/2009 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shintani (JP 11-080952) in view of Sakemi et al ('394) and Okuyama et al (JP 2001-243886).

Shintani teaches a process for forming an MgO film onto a substrate of an AC type plasma display panel [0002] while maintaining a degree of vacuum in the deposition room within a certain range (Abstract). The amount of oxygen introduced into the deposition room is controlled and the amount of gas exhausted from the deposition room is controlled to maintain a certain degree of vacuum within the room [0002], [0004]-[0006]. Since both the amount of oxygen gas being introduced to the deposition room and the amount of gas exhausted from the deposition room are being controlled,

one of ordinary skill in the art would have readily envisaged equilibrating these amounts to maintain the degree of vacuum within the deposition room at a desired value.

Shintani does not teach the specific range in which the degree of vacuum is maintained or that an inert gas and a gas selected from the group consisting of water, hydrogen, carbon monoxide, and carbon dioxide are also introduced to the deposition room.

Sakemi teaches a similar method of depositing a MgO film onto a substrate for a plasma display panel wherein the degree of vacuum during deposition is within the claimed range because the greater the vacuum is below 10<sup>-4</sup> torr (1.3 x 10<sup>-2</sup> Pa), the easier it is for MgO to vaporize which increases the growth rate of the film (Abstract, Col. 1, lines 30-40, Col. 2, lines 50-55, Col. 4, lines 54-58).

Okuyama teaches a method for forming an MgO film on a plasma display panel (Abstract) wherein a mixed gas containing an inert gas and oxygen may be introduced to the chamber during deposition in order to control membranous quality of the film.

Okuyama also teaches that hydrogen may be introduced during deposition in order to control crystal orientation and that the introduction of oxygen reduces oxygen deficiency [0025].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to operate the process of Shintani under a degree of vacuum within the claimed range as suggested by Sakemi in order to vaporize the MgO more easily, which leads to an improved growth rate of the film, and incorporate an inert gas

and/or hydrogen into the process of Shintani as suggested by Okuyama in order to control the membranous quality and crystal orientation of the film.

Regarding Claims 6-9, Since Shintani teaches controlling the amount of gas (oxygen) introduced, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to control the amount of any gases being introduced, such as inert gas or hydrogen, to maintain the desired degree of vacuum. Also, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to introduce oxygen or hydrogen in a predetermined amount in order to deposit a film having a desired quality and crystal orientation since Okuyama discloses a relationship between the oxygen introduced and the oxygen deficiency in the deposition room and between the hydrogen introduced and the crystal orientation of the film.

Thus, claims 6-9 would have been obvious within the meaning of 35 USC 103 over the combined teachings of Shintani, Sakemi, and Okuyama.

3. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shintani (JP 11-080952) in view of Sakemi et al ('394) and Okuyama et al (JP 2001-243886) as applied above and further in view of Nishimura et al (US 2004/0135506).

Shintani, Sakemi, and Okuyama do not disclose adjusting an amount of carbon dioxide introduced to the deposition room.

Nishimura teaches a method of manufacturing a PDP having a MgO protective layer wherein carbon dioxide is introduced in order to form a PDP having lower discharge voltage, more stable discharge, higher luminance, higher efficiency, and

longer life. The amount of carbon dioxide being introduced is controlled to realize the desired effects (Abstract, [0037]-[0041]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to adjust an amount of carbon dioxide being introduced in the process of Shintani in order to form a PDP having the desired effects of lower discharge voltage, more stable discharge, higher luminance, etc as suggested by Nishimura.

Thus, claim 10 would have been obvious within the meaning of 35 USC 103 over the combined teachings of Shintani, Sakemi, Okuyama, and Nishimura.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 1/12/2009 are directed to the new limitations in the claims. These limitations have been addressed in the rejections above.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Burkhart whose telephone number is (571)272-6647. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,672 Page 6

Art Unit: 1792

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Elizabeth Burkhart/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792

> /Timothy H Meeks/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792